UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,854	02/11/2004	John Allen Wooton	9526	3890
27752 7590 09/16/2008 THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY Global Legal Department - IP			EXAMINER	
			HECKERT, JASON MARK	
Sycamore Building - 4th Floor 299 East Sixth Street CINCINNATI, OH 45202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1792		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/776,854	WOOTON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JASON HECKERT	1792				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 M</u>	av 2008					
	, <del></del>					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 215.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 6</u> is/are pending in the appli	4) Claim(s) 1.3.4 and 6 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · — · ·	election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
					2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmont/s\						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [X] Other: <u>Copies of PT</u>	O-892 forms that are already on record.				



Application No.

Art Unit: 1792

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 5/30/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner disagrees with the applicant and maintains that the prior art has been properly cited and made of record. On 1/18/07, examiner cited Bristor and Lee on a PTO-892 form. The applicant responded to said references on the arguments filed 5/25/07. On 1/30/08, examiner cited Barger and Simonetti on a PTO-892 form. Thus, all of the cited prior art has been properly made of record. The previous rejections are maintained.
- 2. Copies of said PTO-892 forms are included in this Office Action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bristor. Bristor discloses a caddy, equivalent to a kit, comprising a durable container 11 made of plastic (col. 16 lines 35-37) that has an open top for ventilation (figure 4), a sprayer 100, cleaning compositions (col. 17 lines 25-27), and a variety of adaptors that provide quick-disconnect capabilities that could be used with a garden hose (col. 9 lines

Application/Control Number: 10/776,854

Art Unit: 1792

Cir. 1988).

10 – 17). Bristor further discloses a purifying device 44 that includes a particulate filter (col. 9 lines 29-30). Bristor also discloses that the caddy can contain brushes (col. 11 lines 50 – 53). The kit also comprises a first opening, in the form of hollow handle assembly 12, for receiving the spraying device, a composition, and adaptor. The assembly connects to the spraying device, delivers composition in the form of water and a dilute chemical injected through venturi injector 58, and has an adaptor for a hose 15. The open top of the container can be used for ventilation and draining. Bristor already discloses a filter 44, or purifier, comprising adaptor 46, screen 48, and body 50 in fluid line with the sprayer. The spray gun in combination with the fluid inlet, venturi injector 58, filter, and associated conduits constitute a spraying device. Chemical is drawn from a container 126. This structurally reads on the apparatus. This caddy is capable of treating the surface of a vehicle. This is regarded as the intended use of the apparatus. The manner in which an apparatus operates is not germane to the issue of patentability of the apparatus itself. Ex parte Wikdahl 10 USPQ 2d 1546, 1548 (BPAI 1989); Ex parte McCullough 7 USPQ 2d 1889, 1891 (BPAI 1988); In re Finsterwalder 168 USPQ 530 (CCPA 1971); In re Casev 152 USPQ 235, 238 (CCPA 1967). Furthermore, apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does. Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc. 15 USPQ 2d 1525 (Fed. Cir. 1990); Demaco Corp. v. F. Von Langsdorf Licensing Ltd. 7 USPQ 2d 1222, 1224-1225 (Fed.

Page 3

Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, 5. under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Barger et al. Barger discloses a kit for cleaning

Art Unit: 1792

vehicles comprising a spray device 20 and a brush-like applicator 16, wherein the spray device includes a barrel portion 46 for receiving a cleaning composition 12, a compartment 48 for a filter 50, and a connection 32 to a hose 24 (col. 3 lines 33-46). Barger discloses that the kit can include additional components; however he does not disclose a plastic carrying case. The inclusion of such a case is considered to be an obvious modification, if not inherent, to one of ordinary skill in the art. Items in a kit are generally packaged together in some sort of case thus constituting the "kit".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Bristor in view of Lee. Bristor does not disclose the inclusion of a wash mitt, yet does disclose that the caddy can include commonly used washing devices for specific cleaning operations including brushes (col. 11 lines 50 53) and therefore qualifies as art under 102(e). Brushes are very similar in function to a wash mitt in that they provide an abrasive cleaning surface. A variety of wash mitts are notoriously well known in the art and are considered to be commonly used washing devices. Lee discloses one type of mitt that is particularly well suited for cleaning automobiles due to its two surfaces of varying abrasiveness. It would have been obvious at the time of the invention, to modify Bristor and include a wash mitt, like

Application/Control Number: 10/776,854

Art Unit: 1792

that of Lee, in the caddy as it is a commonly used washing device for removing dirt from surfaces.

Page 5

- 8. Claim 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bristor. The apparatus of Bristor is a modified bucket-like device. A variety of buckets are known in the art that have the capacity to drain fluid, including wash buckets with drains, slotted bottoms, or mesh bottoms. One of ordinary skill in the art would find it obvious to modify buckets with vented bottoms, in the same fashion that Bristor modifies a presumably closed bottom bucket (Bristor is silent to the construction of the bottom) to allow the effluent of fluid and prevent accumulation which could alter operation of the device. Furthermore, the function of Bristor's bucket is not to retain fluid, but to hold a variety of devices that come into contact with fluid. One skilled in the art would find it obvious that draining such devices would be desirable. This is not considered to be a patentably distinct feature over the prior art.
- 9. Claims 1, 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bristor in view of Simonetti et al. (Simonetti). As stated previously, examiner believes Bristor's disclosure to fully encompass the applicant's invention as claimed. However, for the sake of argument, examiner is presenting Simonetti to show it is well-known to include a filter (item 24) *in the body* of a spray gun, even though this limitation is *not* included in the applicant's claims. The claimed elements were known in the prior art and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. It would have been obvious at the time of the

Art Unit: 1792

invention to modify Bristor and include a filter in the body of the spray gun, as disclosed by Simonetti, in order to filter fluid before ejection.

### Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JASON HECKERT whose telephone number is (571)272-2702. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Friday, 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571)272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Barr/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792

**JMH**